arXiv:1401.0620v1 [hep-ph] 3 Jan 2014

INCLUSIVE TAU LEPTON HADRONIC DECAY IN THE FRAMEWORK OF DISPERSIVE APPROACH TO QCD

A.V. Nesterenko [a]
BLTPh JINR, Dubna, 141980, Russian Federation

Abstract. The dispersive approach to QCD and its applications to inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay and hadronic vacuum polarization function are briefly discussed.

The kinematic restrictions on a number of the strong interaction processes are embodied within dispersion relations for such quantities as hadronic vacuum polarization function $\Pi(q^2)$, related R–ratio of electron–positron annihilation into hadrons $R(s) = \mathrm{Im}\,\Pi(s+i0_+)/\pi$, and Adler function [1] $D(Q^2) = -d\,\Pi(-Q^2)/d\ln Q^2$. In turn, dispersion relations impose intrinsically nonperturbative constraints on the functions on hand, that should certainly be taken into account when one oversteps the limits of applicability of perturbation theory. These constraints were properly accounted for within dispersive approach to QCD [2–4] (its preliminary formulation was discussed in Ref. [5]), which provides the following integral representations for the aforementioned functions:

$$\Delta\Pi(q^2,\,q_0^2) = \Delta\Pi^{(0)}(q^2,\,q_0^2) + \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma) \ln\Big(\frac{\sigma - q^2}{\sigma - q_0^2}\frac{m^2 - q_0^2}{m^2 - q^2}\Big)\frac{d\,\sigma}{\sigma}, \tag{1}$$

$$R(s) = R^{(0)}(s) + \theta(s-m^2)\int_{s}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma)\frac{d\,\sigma}{\sigma}, \tag{2}$$

$$D(Q^2) = D^{(0)}(Q^2) + \frac{Q^2}{Q^2+m^2}\int_{m^2}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma)\frac{\sigma - m^2}{\sigma + Q^2}\frac{d\,\sigma}{\sigma}, \tag{3}$$

with $\rho(\sigma)$ being the spectral density

$$\rho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{d}{d\,\ln\sigma}\,\mathrm{Im}\,p(\sigma - i0_+) = -\frac{d}{d\,\ln\sigma}\,r(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\,d(-\sigma - i0_+). \tag{4}$$

Here $\Delta\Pi(q^2,\,q_0^2) = \Pi(q^2) - \Pi(q_0^2)$, m stands for the value of the hadronic production threshold, $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$ and $s = q^2 > 0$ denote spacelike and timelike kinematic variables, whereas $p(q^2)$, $r(s)$, and $d(Q^2)$ are the strong corrections to $\Pi(q^2)$, $R(s)$, and $D(Q^2)$. The leading–order terms in Eqs. (1)–(3) read [6]:

$$\Delta\Pi^{(0)}(q^2,\,q_0^2) = 2\,\frac{\varphi - \tan\varphi}{\tan^3\varphi} - 2\,\frac{\varphi_0 - \tan\varphi_0}{\tan^3\varphi_0}, \tag{5}$$

$$R^{(0)}(s) = \theta(s-m^2)\Big(1 - \frac{m^2}{s}\Big)^{3/2}, \tag{6}$$

$$D^{(0)}(Q^2) = 1 + \frac{3}{\xi}\Big[1 - \sqrt{1+\xi^{-1}}\,\sinh^{-1}\big(\xi^{1/2}\big)\Big], \tag{7}$$

where $\sin^2\varphi = q^2/m^2$, $\sin^2\varphi_0 = q_0^2/m^2$, and $\xi = Q^2/m^2$, see also Refs. [3,4].

[a] E-mail: nesterav@theor.jinr.ru

Table 1: Values of the QCD scale parameter Λ [MeV] obtained within perturbative and dispersive approaches from recently updated ALEPH [17] and OPAL [18] experimental data on inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay (one–loop level, $n_{\rm f} = 3$ active flavors), see Ref. [3].

	Perturbative approach		Dispersive approach	
	ALEPH [17]	OPAL [18]	ALEPH [17]	OPAL [18]
Vector channel	434^{+117}_{-127}	445^{+201}_{-230}	408 ± 30	409 ± 53
Axial–vector channel	no solution		418 ± 35	409 ± 61

Note that in the massless limit ($m = 0$) for the case of perturbative spectral density [$\rho(\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\, d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\,0_+)/\pi$] Eqs. (2) and (3) become identical to those of the so–called Analytic Perturbation Theory (APT) [7] (see also Refs. [8–13]). However, it is essential to keep the value of the hadronic production threshold nonvanishing ($m \neq 0$), see paper [3] and references therein for the details. In particular, the distinction between the representation (3) and its massless limit was elucidated in Sect. 4 of Ref. [2] and Sect. 3 of Ref. [14].

So far, there is no method to restore the unique complete expression for the spectral density (4) appearing in Eqs. (1)–(3) (discussion of this issue may be found in, e.g., Refs. [15, 16]). In this paper the model [3, 4] for the spectral density is employed:

$$\rho(\sigma) = \frac{4}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{\ln^2(\sigma/\Lambda^2) + \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{\sigma}. \tag{8}$$

Here $\beta_0 = 11 - 2n_{\rm f}/3$, Λ denotes the QCD scale parameter, and $n_{\rm f}$ stands for the number of active flavors. The first term in the right–hand side of Eq. (8) is the one–loop perturbative contribution, whereas the second term represents intrinsically nonperturbative part of the spectral density (see Refs. [3,4] for the details).

The dispersive approach to QCD has been successfully applied to the study of the inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay [3,4]. The obtained results reveal that the dispersive approach is capable of describing recently updated ALEPH [17] and OPAL [18] experimental data on inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay in vector and axial–vector channels. The values of QCD scale parameter Λ evaluated in both channels appear to be nearly identical to each other (see Tab. 1), that bears witness to the self–consistency of the developed approach.

It is worthwhile to mention also the papers [19,20], which study the inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay within massless APT and its modifications. However, those papers basically deal either with the total sum of vector and axial–vector terms of the semileptonic branching ratio or with its vector term only.



Figure 1: Comparison of the hadronic vacuum polarization function (1) [$\bar{\Pi}(q^2) = \Delta\Pi(0, q^2)$, solid curve] with relevant lattice simulation data [26] (circles). The presented results correspond to the spectral density (8) and $n_{\rm f} = 2$ active flavors, see also Refs. [3, 27].

The dispersive approach to QCD [2–4] provides the representations (1)–(3), which conform with the results obtained in Ref. [21]. Besides, it was explicitly shown in Refs. [2,14,16] that the Adler function (3) complies with corresponding experimental prediction in the entire energy range (note that a close matter was studied in Refs. [22–25]). Additionally, as one can infer from Fig. 1, the hadronic vacuum polarization function (1) is in a good agreement with relevant low–energy lattice simulation data [26], see Refs. [3, 27] for the details.

[1] S.L. Adler, Phys. Rev. **D 10**, 3714 (1974).

[2] A.V. Nesterenko and J. Papavassiliou, J. Phys. **G 32**, 1025 (2006).

[3] A.V. Nesterenko, Phys. Rev. **D 88**, 056009 (2013); Phys. Part. Nucl. **44**, 437 (2013).

[4] A.V. Nesterenko, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **234**, 199 (2013); SLAC eConf C1106064, 23 (2011); arXiv:1110.3415 [hep-ph]; PoS (Confinement X), 350 (2013).

[5] A.V. Nesterenko and J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. **D 71**, 016009 (2005); Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 20**, 4622 (2005); Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **152**, 47 (2005); **164**, 304 (2007).

[6] A.I. Akhiezer and V.B. Berestetsky, *Quantum electrodynamics*, Interscience, NY (1965) 868 p.; R.P. Feynman, *Photon–hadron interactions*, Reading, MA: Benjamin (1972) 282 p.

[7] D.V. Shirkov and I.L. Solovtsov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1209 (1997); Theor. Math. Phys. **150**, 132 (2007); K.A. Milton and I.L. Solovtsov, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 5295 (1997); **59**, 107701 (1999).

[8] G. Cvetic and C. Valenzuela, Braz. J. Phys. **38**, 371 (2008); A.P. Bakulev, Phys. Part. Nucl. **40**, 715 (2009); N.G. Stefanis, *ibid.* **44**, 494 (2013); G. Cvetic and A.V. Kotikov, J. Phys. **G 39**, 065005 (2012).

[9] G. Cvetic, A.Y. Illarionov, B.A. Kniehl, and A.V. Kotikov, Phys. Lett. **B 679**, 350 (2009); A.V. Kotikov, PoS (Baldin ISHEPP XXI), 033 (2013).

[10] M. Baldicchi and G.M. Prosperi, Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 074008 (2002); AIP Conf. Proc. **756**, 152 (2005); **892**, 340 (2007).
[11] A.C. Aguilar, A.V. Nesterenko, and J. Papavassiliou, J. Phys. **G 31**, 997 (2005); Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **164**, 300 (2007).
[12] K.A. Milton, I.L. Solovtsov, and O.P. Solovtsova, Phys. Lett. **B 439**, 421 (1998); Phys. Rev. **D 60**, 016001 (1999); R.S. Pasechnik, D.V. Shirkov, and O.V. Teryaev, *ibid.* **78**, 071902 (2008).
[13] A.P. Bakulev, K. Passek–Kumericki, W. Schroers, and N.G. Stefanis, Phys. Rev. **D 70**, 033014 (2004); **70**, 079906(E) (2004); N.G. Stefanis, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **152**, 245 (2006); A.P. Bakulev, A.V. Pimikov, and N.G. Stefanis, Phys. Rev. **D 79**, 093010 (2009).
[14] A.V. Nesterenko, SLAC eConf C0706044, 25 (2007).
[15] A.V.Nesterenko, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 094028 (2000); **64**, 116009 (2001); Int.J. Mod. Phys. **A18**, 5475 (2003); Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **133**, 59 (2004).
[16] A.V. Nesterenko, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **186**, 207 (2009).
[17] S. Schael *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], Phys. Rept. **421**, 191 (2005); M. Davier, A. Hocker, and Z. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 1043 (2006); M. Davier, S. Descotes–Genon, A. Hocker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. **C 56**, 305 (2008).
[18] K. Ackerstaff *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. **C 7**, 571 (1999); D. Boito *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D 85**, 093015 (2012).
[19] K.A. Milton, I.L. Solovtsov, and O.P. Solovtsova, Phys. Lett. **B 415**, 104 (1997); Phys. Rev. **D 64**, 016005 (2001); Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 21**, 1355 (2006); K.A. Milton, I.L. Solovtsov, O.P. Solovtsova, and V.I. Yasnov, Eur. Phys. J. **C 14**, 495 (2000).
[20] G.Cvetic and C.Valenzuela, Phys. Rev. **D 74**, 114030 (2006); **84**, 019902 (2011); C. Ayala, C. Contreras, and G. Cvetic, *ibid.* **85**, 114043 (2012).
[21] M. Baldicchi, A.V. Nesterenko, G.M. Prosperi, and C. Simolo, Phys. Rev. **D 77**, 034013 (2008); M. Baldicchi, A.V. Nesterenko, G.M. Prosperi, D.V. Shirkov, and C. Simolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 242001 (2007).
[22] D.M. Howe and C.J. Maxwell, Phys. Rev. **D 70**, 014002 (2004); P.M. Brooks and C.J. Maxwell, *ibid.* **74**, 065012 (2006).
[23] G. Abbas, B. Ananthanarayan and I. Caprini, Phys. Rev. **D 85**, 094018 (2012); G. Abbas, B. Ananthanarayan, I. Caprini, and J. Fischer, *ibid.* **87**, 014008 (2013).
[24] G. Cvetic and T. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D 64**, 014030 (2001); G. Cvetic, C. Dib, T. Lee, and I. Schmidt, *ibid.* **64**, 093016 (2001).
[25] G. Cvetic, arXiv:1309.1696 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1311.7611 [hep-ph].
[26] M. Della Morte, B. Jager, A. Juttner, and H. Wittig, JHEP **1203**, 055 (2012); A. Francis, B. Jager, H.B. Meyer, and H. Wittig, Phys. Rev. **D 88**, 054502 (2013).
[27] A.V. Nesterenko, in preparation.